

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,959	12/08/2003	Tadanori Ryu	R2184.0066/P066-A	9026
24998 DICKSTEIN S	7590 02/21/2007 SHAPIRO LLP		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403		•	BAYARD, EMMANUEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		- 2611		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/728,959	RYU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Emmanuel Bayard	2611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by superply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUR 1.136(a). In no event, however, man. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) tatute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. Bay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status			·				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	8 December 2003.					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims .						
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 6-11 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	•				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in about	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
٠,١	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bu			3 -			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/728,959

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 6-11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,704,350.
Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the patent encompass the claims in the current application.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tetsushi U.S. Patent No 6,198,820 B1 teaches a portable remote terminal.

Petty et al U.S. Patent no 6,157,689 teaches an automatic speed detection.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,959

Art Unit: 2611

Dailey U.S. Patent No 5,642,350 teaches peer to peer network.

Russo U.S. Patent No 6,301,297 B1 teaches a conservation of power in serial modem.

Johnson U.S. Patent No 5,661,751 teaches a system and technique for power management.

Sauseer, Jr U.S. Patent No 5,654,983.

Suzuki U.S. Patent No 5737,663.

Mori U.S. Patent No 5,878,079.

Wilkie et al U.S. Patent No 5,923,705.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is 571 272 3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:Am-4:30PM)

Alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571 272 2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300:

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emmanuel Bayard Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

2/10/07

PRIMARY EXAMINER